



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/551,962	04/19/2000	Henry Esmond Butterworth	GB9-1999-0117US1 3838		
7590 11/20/2003			EXAMINER -		
Jay p Sbrollini			CHANG, JUNGWON		
IBM Corp IP La	aw Dept search Center PO Box 218		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE		
Yorktown Heights, NY 10598			2154	6	
			DATE MAILED: 11/20/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				PRE			
	Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
055	09/551,962		BUTTERWORTH ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
	Jungwon C		2154				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the o	over sheet with the co	orrespondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated to the provided by the Office later than three months after the maximum patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event b. a reply within the statuto criod will apply and will a tatute, cause the applica	t, however, may a reply be time ory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from t ation to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely he mailing date of this co (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on a	mendment filed	<u>9/8/03</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ T	his action is non	-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
 4) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Application Papers	dioi cicciion rec	juli ciricili.					
·· _	-i						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam		ohiected to by the F	yaminer				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for force a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume. 2. Certified copies of the priority docume. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume. * See the attached detailed Office action for a an application from the International Bure. * See the attached detailed Office action for a an application is made of a claim for dome. * See the attached detailed Office action for a an application is made of a claim for dome. * The translation of the foreign language and the priority docume. * See the attached detailed Office action for dome. * See the attached detailed Office action for dome. * See the attached detailed Office action for dome. * See the attached detailed Office action for dome. * See the attached detailed Office action for a claim for dome. * See the attached detailed Office action for a claim for dome. * See the attached detailed Office action for a claim for dome. * See the attached detailed Office action for a claim for dome. * See the attached detailed Office action for a claim for dome. * See the attached detailed Office action for a claim for dome. * See the attached detailed Office action for a claim for dome. * See the attached detailed Office action for a claim for dome.	nents have been been briority document reau (PCT Rule list of the certific estic priority under first sentence of provisional applestic priority under the priority and the priority applestic priority under the priority applestic priority applestic priority under the priority applestic priority applestic priority under the priority applestic p	received. received in Application ts have been received 17.2(a)). ed copies not received ler 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) of the specification or lication has been received ler 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	on No d in this National d.) (to a provisional in an Application eived. and/or 121 since	application) Data Sheet. a specific			
Attachment(s)		_					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper Note) 5						

Application/Control Number: 09/551,962

Art Unit: 2154

FINAL ACTION

- 1. Claims 1-4 have been amended and claims 1-4 are presented for examination.
- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this office action can be found in a prior action.
- 3. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Platko et al. (US 6,330,658 B1), hereinafter Platko, in view of Kozlowski et al. (US 6,513,070 B1), Hereinafter Kozlowski.
- 4. As to claims 1 and 4, Platko discloses the invention substantially as claimed, including a data processing system (col. 1, lines 22-24) comprising:
 - a master processor (16, fig. 1; col. 2, lines 18-19);
 - a slave processor (18, fig. 1; col. 2, line 25);
 - a memory (20, fig. 1; col. 2, lines 19-20); and
- a bus subsystem interconnecting the master processor, the slave processor, and the memory (fig. 1; col. 2, lines 18-30; col. 3, lines 20-25);

wherein the master processor is configured to generate, in response to a memory access instruction (i.e., read, write; col. 4, line 64 – col. 5, line 13), a read request (col. 2, lines 45-49; col. 5, lines 35-47) comprising a read command for execution by the slave processor to read data stored in a location in the memory

Art Unit: 2154

specified by the memory access instruction (col. 2, lines 32-35), and to write the read request to the slave processor via the bus subsystem (col. 2, lines 35-37), and the slave processor is configured to execute the read command received in the read request from the master processor to obtain the data stored at the specified location in the memory (col. 6, lines 3-24) and to write the data obtained to the master processor via the bus subsystem (col. 5, lines 35-44; col. 6, lines 3-24).

- 5. Platko does not specifically disclose allowing a master processor to continue other processing operations and tasks while slave processor is reading the memory. However, Kozlowski discloses allowing a master processor to continue other processing operations and tasks while slave processor is reading the memory (col. 1, lines 45-50 and 64-67; col. 2, lines 1-8). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Platko and Kozlowski because Kozlowski's DMA operation would reduce latency by allowing the master processor to perform other tasks while data is being reading/writing (col. 1, lines 45-50 and 64-67; col. 2, lines 1-8).
- 6. As to claim 2, Platko discloses wherein the bus system comprises two buses interconnected by a bridge device (col. 2, lines 26-27).
- 7. As to claim 3, Platko discloses the invention substantially as claimed in claims 1 and 4. In addition, Platko discloses a disk controller (46, fig. 1; col. 5, lines 49-52).

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/551,962

Art Unit: 2154

- 8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 9. In the remarks, applicants argued in substance that
- (1) Platko reference does not allow the master processor to continue other processing operations and tasks while the slave processor is reading the memory.
- (2) The "master processor" in the present invention is a high performance processor which is entirely consumed while waiting for the data transfer, and is therefore expensive.
- (3) In the cited prior art reference Platko, the master processor is synchronously sequencing the operation of the slave processor. Whereas, in the present invention, the master processor is sending an asynchronous request to the slave processor and the slave processor is coming back with an asynchronous replay.
- 10. Examiner respectfully traverses applicants' remark.

As to point (1), please see paragraph 5 above.

As to points (2) and (3), In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., asynchronous, high performance processor) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification,

Application/Control Number: 09/551,962

Art Unit: 2154

limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

11. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jungwon Chang whose telephone number is (703)305-9669. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-6:00 (Monday-Friday).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Meng-Ai An can be reached on (703)308-9052. The fax phone numbers for

Application/Control Number: 09/551,962

Art Unit: 2154

Page 6

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)746-7239 for regular communications and (703)746-7238 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)305-9669.

Jungwon Chang November 13, 2003

MENG-AL T. AN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100